THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL); (a) and (d) Production and sources of raw materials of individual formulations are not monitored.

(b), (c), (e) and (f) Re-endorsement was not granted to products being manufactured with doubtful industrial validity. It was considered not to be expedient to order stoppage of all such formulations for a number of reasons such as:

(i) The diversity of the facts which render the industrial approvals claimed of doubtful validity.

(ii) the large number of companies and the large number of formulations involved.

(iii) the essentiality of some of these formulations;

(iv) the manufacture of many of these formulations being carried on for several years and in some cases for more than a decade and the products having been accepted in the market and by the medical pro. fession.

An Inter-Ministerial Working Group (IMWG) was set up in 1982 to go into the question of production of drugs without valid industrial approvals and suggest the possible lines of action that may be taken. The IMWG. has submitted its report. The Government have yet *to* take a final decision on the recommendations of the IMWG. .

(g) and (h) The information would be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Import of Fenbendazole

2310. SHRI BHAGATRAM MANHAR. Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to *efer to the answer to Unstarred Question 271 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th March, 1985 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Hoechst imported Fenbendazole and Fenben dazole base;

(b) if so, what was the quantity imported during the last three years, year-wise, upto the 30th June, 1985 indicating the source of import and landed price per kg, consignment-wise;

(c) what are the reasons for not specifying this drug in this schedule and fixing the price of the drug without technical and cost study;

(d) what are the names of other companies who imported this drug, indicating the quantity source and landed price per kg of each;

(e) what was the sales turn-over of formulations based on this drug of Hoechst during the last three years, year-wise;

(f) whether any other company is marketing formulations based on this drug;

(g) if so, what is the name of the company, its product, and price fixed for each pack; and

(h) what are the names of other drugs still to be specified under schedule?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) an (b) Information to the extent available would be collected and laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha.

(c) Formulations based on Fenbendazole are price controlled. Based on the application of M/s. Hoechst submitted under Drugs (Price Control) Order, 1979 it was noticed that the indigenous price as communicated by them was not significantly different from the landed cost of imports. It was, therefore, decided not to list

thi$_s$ bulk drug under drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1979.

(d), (f) and (g) To the extent information is available, there is no other formulator or importer of Fen-bendazole.

(e) According to M/s. Hoechst India Limited during the year 1984 they sold imported formulations containing Fenbendazole of the value of about Rs. 64 lakhs.

(h) Newer bulk drugs not specified in the Drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1979 are being produced in the country. Names of those new bulk drugs where the manufacturers * have [a]P-proached the Government for price fixation/inclusion in the Drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1979 are being collected and would be laid on the Table of th$_e$ Rajya Sabha.

Production of Vaccines

2311. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1231 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th August, 1985 and state:

(a) the names of the producers of DPT, DT, TT and BCG vaccines;

(b) what is the installed capacity of each and what was the production of each vaccine of each producer during the last three years, year-wise;

(c) what is the estimated demand of each drug during 1985-86;

(d) whether it is a fact that Polio Vaccine is being imported in bulk;

(e) if so, the names of the companies and the quantity imported in bulk during the last three years, year-wise by each;

(f) when and what was the price fixed for Polio Vaccine per dose;

(g) what was the mark up allowed and whether customs duty was included in landed price of Polio Vaccine; and

(h) if so, why price was not revised when customs duty was exempted and what action was taken against the company?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) to (c) Information to the extent available is being collected and would be laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha.

(d) and (e) Yes, Sir. M/s. Haff-kine Bui Pharmaceuticals Corporation Ltd. (HBPC), Bombay have reported that they are importing three types of Mono Vaccines bulk concentrates and are producing Polio Vaccine by using these concentrates. The imports made by them during th$_e$ last three years are as under:—

Year	No. of consignments	Doses	CIF rate per dose
1982-83	7	35 Million	0.51 BF
1983-84	9	43 Million	0.53 BF
1984-85	6	43 Million	0.00945 US$

(f) The price fixed for Polio Vaccine is Rs. 0.461 per dose or Rs. 9.22 for 10ml vial (20 dosage), w.e.f, 15th March, 1979, under the Drug (Prices Control) Order, 1970.

(g) and (h) A mark up of 75 per cent on the ex-factory cost was allowed. Customs duty was not included in the price fixed.